PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Translation



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference NEC03P237A | FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416) | | | | |
|---|--|------------------|---|--|--|
| International application No. PCT/JP2003/016444 | International filing date (d. | ty/month/year) | Priority date (day/month/year) | | |
| PCT/JP2003/016444 22 December 2003 (22.12.2003) 17 January 2003 (17.01.2003) International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC H03F 1/32 | | | | | |
| Applicant NEC CORPORATION | | | | | |
| This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. This REPORT consists of a total of3 sheets, including this cover sheet. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). These annexes consist of a total of 4 sheets. | | | | | |
| 3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: I Basis of the report II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability IV Lack of unity of invention V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application VIII Certain observations on the international application | | | | | |
| Date of submission of the demand 22 December 2003 (22.12.2003) | | te of completion | of this report eptember 2004 (28.09.2004) | | |
| Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP | | thorized officer | | | |
| Facsimile No. | | Telephone No. | | | |

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Claims

Claims

PCT/JP03/16444

YES

NO

| V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | | | | |
|---|--------|----------------|-----|--|--|--|
| 1. Statement | | | | | | |
| Novelty (N) | Claims | 6-7, 9-10 | YES | | | |
| | Claims | 1-2, 4-5 | NO | | | |
| Inventive step (IS) | Claims | | YES | | | |
| | Claims | 1-2, 4-7, 9-10 | МО | | | |

1-2, 4-7, 9-10

2. Citations and explanations

Industrial applicability (IA)

Document 1: WO, 01-39367, A1 (Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson), 31 May, 2001 (31.05.01) Document 2: JP, 2002-124840, A (Mitsubishi Electric Corp.), 26 April, 2002 (26.04.02)

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5

The subject matters of claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 do not appear to be novel or to involve an inventive step, since they are described in document 1 (page 11, line 12 to page 12, line 25, Fig. 3) cited in the ISR.

Claims 6, 7, 9 and 10

The subject matters of claims 6, 7, 9 and 10 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1 and 2 cited in the ISR.

Document 2 (paragraphs 0049-0054, Fig. 5) cited in the ISR describes that a predistorter circuit is installed upstream of a peak amplifier of a Doherty type amplifier.

Document 1 (page 11, line 12 to page 12, line 25, Fig. 3) cited in the ISR describes that one predistorter circuit each is installed upstream of a carrier amplifier and upstream of a peak amplifier.

Furthermore, in the known conventional Doherty type amplifier {for example, see document 1 (Fig. 3) and document 1 (Fig. 15) respectively cited in the ISR}, no predistorter circuit is installed either upstream of a carrier amplifier or upstream of a peak amplifier.

Considering the above, a person skilled in the art could have, as required, designed whether or not one predistorter circuit each should be installed upstream of a carrier amplifier or upstream of a peak amplifier.

Meanwhile, claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9 and 10 do not state that an "input signal" is an "RF signal."

Moreover, in the description of claim 1, the connection relation between an "input branch circuit" and a
"first predistorter circuit" and the connection relation between an "input branch circuit" and a "second
predistorter circuit" are not specified at all, and in the description of claim 2, the connection relation between an
"input branch circuit" and a "peak amplifier" and the connection relation between an "input branch circuit" and
a "predistorter circuit" are not specified at all.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2003/016444

| | s of the re | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. With regard to the elements of the international application:* | | | | | |
| | the inte | rnational application as originally filed | | | |
| | the desc | cription: | | | |
| | pages | 1-10 , as originally filed | | | |
| | pages | , filed with the demand | | | |
| | pages | , filed with the letter of | | | |
| | the clair | | | | |
| L N | pages | 10467010 | | | |
| | pages | 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10 , as originally filed | | | |
| ! | pages | , as amended (together with any statement under Article 19 | | | |
| | pages | , filed with the demand , filed with the letter of 31 May 2004 (31.05.2004) | | | |
| | 41- 1 | ·- | | | |
| | the drav | - | | | |
| | pages | 1-5 , as originally filed | | | |
| | pages | , filed with the demand | | | |
| _ | pages | , filed with the letter of | | | |
| | the seque | nce listing part of the description: | | | |
| | pages | , as originally filed | | | |
| | pages | , filed with the demand | | | |
| | pages . | , filed with the letter of | | | |
| 2. With the The | se element the lang the lang | the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which all application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. It was were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is: It was a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). It was a translation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). It was a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/). | | | |
| 3. With prel | contain filed to furnishe furnishe The sta | to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international camination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: ed in the international application in written form. gether with the international application in computer readable form. ed subsequently to this Authority in written form. ed subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. attement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the ional application as filed has been furnished. tement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has raished. | | | |
| 4. | | endments have resulted in the cancellation of: he description, pages he claims, Nos | | | |
| 5 | acement s | ort has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).** There is which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitational disclosure. | | | |
| Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16 and 70.17). ** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report. | | | | | |